

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

**1**

LA-UR--84-431

DE84 007501

TITLE: Patterns of Energy Levels and Spectra for Polyatomic Molecules

AUTHOR(S): William G. Harter

**NOTICE**  
**PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE.**  
It has been reproduced from the best available copy to permit the broadest possible availability.

SUBMITTED TO: Fractal Structure in Physics, Washington, DC, Nov. 23-26, 1983.  
(Conference Proceedings)

### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy

**Los Alamos** Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

# PATTERNS OF ENERGY LEVELS AND SPECTRA FOR POLYATOMIC MOLECULES

William G. Harter<sup>†</sup>

Theoretical Division - Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, NM 87545

## I. INTRODUCTION

Laser spectroscopy has revealed a remarkable intricacy in the rotational, vibrational, and electronic energy levels for polyatomic molecules. The infrared spectra of  $\text{SF}_6$ , [1-10]  $\text{CF}_4$ , [11,12] and related molecules contain several levels of structure on top of structure which resembles a fractal in some ways. The purpose of this article will be to exhibit some of this structure and introduce the simplest theoretical interpretations of it which are presently available. [13]

The theoretical interpretations are based upon quantum and semi-classical models for the dynamics of angular momenta for electronic and nuclear orbits and spins. The models show that very complex spectra can be understood in terms of comparatively simple non-linear classical rotational dynamics combined with certain quantum tunneling processes. [14,15] The time scales for the classical and quantum motions vary over many orders of magnitude, and this accounts for the intricate structure of the molecular spectra.

Fig. 1 represents an attempt to exhibit the complex spectral structure which can be seen using modern laser technology. Not too many years ago all this structure would have shown up only as a unresolved "landscape" on an infrared spectral trace, and it might have been called a vibrational "line" or "resonance." However, it is quite clear from Fig. 1 that this "line" is actually made of many "sub-lines." Indeed, these lines contain lines which contain finer lines and so forth to yield a complex pattern with literally thousands of resonances.

One wonders what the  $\text{SF}_6$  molecules could be doing to make all these spectral features. The designation " $\nu_4$ " refers to a particular type of dipole-active vibrational motion of the  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule. All of the  $\nu_4$ -spectral features in Fig. 1 correspond to an excitation of this vibration in one way or another. Still one wonders: Why can a  $\nu_4$ -vibration be excited in so many different ways?


Much more clearly defined answers to such questions come out of a new approach to the study of rotations and angular momentum states of polyatomic molecules. [16] It was already known that the features in Fig. 1 correspond to transitions between states with different combinations or arrangements of angular momenta in the molecule. However, prevailing theories for spectroscopy lacked a clear geometric picture of how these angular momenta were arranged in each state, and there was no way to relate the complex spectra to a well defined dynamics for the molecule.

There are three types of angular momentum involved in the  $\nu_4$  spectra. First, there are the angular momenta labeled R, J, or F associated with the overall rotation of the  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule. Second, there is the vibrational

---


<sup>†</sup>Presently on leave from the School of Physics and Center for Atomic and Molecular Studies, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332.

(a)  $\text{SF}_6$   $\nu_4$  Rotational Structure




FTIR and Laser Diode Spectra  
K. C. Kim, W. B. Person, D. Sert, and B. J. Krahn  
J. Mol. Spectrosc. 76, 322 (1979)


(b) P(88) Fine Structure (Rotational anisotropy effects)



(c) Superfine Structure (Rotational axis tunneling)



(d) Hyperfine Structure (Nuclear spin-rotation effects)



(e) Superhyperfine Structure (Spin frame correlation effects)




Fig. 1.

A sampling of spectral patterns associated with the fundamental  $\nu_4$  vibrational transition of  $\text{SF}_6$ . (After Ref. [4]). Parts a-e correspond to finer and finer spectral structure. An interpretation of some of the structure is attempted in the text.

angular momentum  $\vec{L}$  associated with the eccentric or crankshaft-like motion of the  $\nu_4$ -vibration.<sup>[14]</sup> Third, there is the total nuclear spin angular momentum  $\vec{I}$  due to the six F-nuclei which each have spin-1/2. The sum of the momentum  $\vec{R}$  of the molecular rotor and the momentum  $\vec{L}$  of the vibrator is the total mechanical momentum

$$\vec{J} = \vec{R} + \vec{L} \quad (1.1)$$

The overall total angular momentum

$$\vec{F} = \vec{J} + \vec{I} = \vec{R} + \vec{L} + \vec{I} \quad (1.2)$$

includes that of the nuclear spins, as well.

The new approach to the theory of rotational spectra is based upon the concept of the rotational energy (RE) surface as developed by Harter and Patterson.<sup>[13,14]</sup> In the following section this approach will be introduced for the simplest case in which vibrational and nuclear spin angular momentum effects are neglected ( $\vec{R} = \vec{J} = \vec{F}$ ). This will allow a simple explanation of the spectral fine and superfine structures or cluster patterns which appear in Fig. 1b and c. Subsequent sections will show how these patterns are modified by other mechanisms such as Coriolis interaction with the vibrational momentum, higher order tensor Hamiltonians and nuclear spin effects. The effects of electronic spin and orbital coupling are not considered here, but it appears that they should be treated similarly.

## II. ROTATIONAL ENERGY SURFACES AND SPECTRAL CLUSTERS

For molecules having a cubic, octahedral, or tetrahedral structure the following Hamiltonian form due to Hecht<sup>[17]</sup> has been extremely useful for modeling their rotational spectra.

$$H = BJ^2 + 10t_{044} [J_x^4 + J_y^4 + J_z^4 - (3/5)J^4] \quad (2.1)$$

The first term represents the rotational energy of a spherical top whose moment of inertia is  $I = 1/(2B)$ . The second term represents centrifugal distortion as explained below. The distortion term is the lowest degree polynomial in the angular momentum operators ( $J_x, J_y, J_z$ ) having cubic symmetry without also having spherical symmetry. (The second degree polynomial  $J^2 = J_x^2 + J_y^2 + J_z^2$  in the first term obviously has spherical symmetry as well as cubic symmetry.)

The standard approach for solving Hamiltonians such as (2.1) involves rewriting them in terms of Racah tensors  $T_q^k$ . Following Hecht,<sup>[17]</sup> one rewrites the fourth degree cubic part as follows.

$$J_x^4 + J_y^4 + J_z^4 - (3/5)J^4 = (2J^4/5) [T_0^4 + (5/14)^{1/2} (T_4^4 + T_{-4}^4)] \quad (2.2)$$

This is done so that matrix elements in an angular momentum basis can be derived easily using the Wigner Eckart theorem

$$\langle J' | T_q^k | J \rangle = C_q^k \frac{J}{K} \frac{J'}{K'} \langle J' || k || J \rangle \quad (2.3)$$

However, since most state for heavy polyatomic molecules involve high angular quanta ( $J > 10$ ) this approach becomes computationally laborious since many large matrices must be diagonalized numerically by computer. Also, the physical interpretation of the results of the diagonalizations are generally obscured, and important physical effects may be unnoticed or unexplained.

Computer diagonalization studies by Lea, Leask, and Wolf[18]; Dorney, and Watson[19]; and Fox, Galbraith, Krohn, and Louck[20], revealed a complex yet surprisingly orderly cluster structure of the rotational energy levels. The first detailed explanation of the superfine structure of clusters was given by Harter and Patterson.[15,21] This explanation can now be made more clearly by introducing the concept of the rotational energy surface.[13].

To obtain the rotational energy surface of a Hamiltonian such as (2.1) it is only necessary to plot it in an angular momentum polar co-ordinate systems ( $\beta, \gamma$ ) defined by the following

$$\begin{aligned} J_x &= -J \sin\beta \cos\gamma \\ J_y &= J \sin\beta \sin\gamma \\ J_z &= J \cos\beta \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here the angles ( $-\beta$ ) and ( $-\gamma$ ) are the polar angles and azimuth, respectively, of the classical angular momentum vector  $\vec{J} = (J_x, J_y, J_z)$  in the body frame of the molecule. The negative sign in this definition<sup>2</sup> is convenient since the sense of rotation for the body frame is the reverse of that for the laboratory. The angles  $+\beta$  and  $+\gamma$  are two of the conventionally defined Euler angles ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) as explained in Ref. [13].

Using (2.4) to rewrite the Hamiltonian (2.1) one obtains

$$H = BJ^2 + t_{044} J^4 (35\cos^4\beta - 30\cos^2\beta + 5\sin^4\beta \cos 4\gamma + 3)/2 \quad (2.5)$$

The polar plot of this function is shown by the surface in Fig. 2 for appropriate values of the constants B and  $t_{044}$ . (The location of features on the surface is independent of the values of these constants.) One should remember that the energy is being plotted radially outward or upward. The radius of each point on the rotational energy (RE) surface represents the rotational energy obtained for the particular angular momentum direction ( $-\beta, -\gamma$ ) subject to the constraint that the magnitude J of the angular momentum is constant. It is often convenient to take unit values for J, i.e. to let

$$J = (J_x^2 + J_y^2 + J_z^2)^{1/2} = 1 \quad (2.6)$$

when plotting the classical surfaces.




Fig. 2.

The rotational energy (RE) surface for the  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule. The rotational energy is plotted radially as a function of the direction of the angular momentum  $\vec{J}$ . Topography lines correspond to trajectories of the  $\vec{J}$ -vector precessing in the molecular frame with constant rotational energy. (At the same time  $\vec{J}$  is a constant vector in the laboratory frame.) Dotted lines indicate separatrices, and dashed lines are constant energy tunneling paths on the E-sphere.

It is useful to understand why the surface in Fig. 2 has peaks along the x, y, or z axes and valleys in between. It is helpful to imagine an octahedral  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule with the S-F bonds along the same x, y, and z axes as Fig. 2. It often happens that an RF surface has a shape that is roughly similar to the molecule it is supposed to represent. (However, one should not forget that the RE surface exists in an energy-angular momentum space ( $E, \vec{J}$ ), only). If the  $\text{SF}_6$  molecule rotates around the (100) directions (i.e., x, y, and z axes) it will be centrifugally distorted less than by rotation with the same  $\vec{J}$  around (111) directions in between. This is because the radial S-F bonds are several times stronger than the F-F "bonds". Since centrifugal force acts perpendicular to the rotation axis or  $\vec{J}$ -vector, it will be more effective when  $\vec{J}$  is not along the S-F axes but in between them. Greater distortion corresponds to greater effective rotational inertia and less energy, and so the RE surface has valleys on the (111)-axes.

Therefore the RE surface is an effective energy surface that is supposed to account for the inertial deployment and shifting of cargo on board the molecule, as it rotates in space. It provides an efficient way to show the consequences of conservation of angular momentum ( $\vec{J}$ ) and energy ( $H$ ). The constancy of  $\vec{J}$  is implicit in the construction of the RE surface, and constant- $H$  loci simply correspond to topography lines in Fig. 2. Since energy is plotted radially, the angular momentum vector  $\vec{J}$  must lie along the intersections of an RE surface for a given  $\vec{J}$  and an energy sphere for a given  $H$ . In the absence of external torque, the  $\vec{J}$ -vector must remain fixed in magnitude and direction in the laboratory, but in the body frame its direction (but not magnitude) will change as it moves along an RE surface.

topography line. This motion corresponds to an overall precession or nutation of the molecule.

The speed or frequency of the precessional motion is determined by the gradient or slope of the energy surface. For each  $\vec{J}$  vector position there is an angular velocity vector given by Hamilton's equation

$$\vec{\omega} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{J}}, \quad (2.7)$$

and this determines the speed of  $\vec{J}$  in the rotating body frame.

$$\dot{\vec{J}} = -\vec{\omega} \times \vec{J} \quad (2.8)$$

For qualitative purpose the following left-handed mnemonic is sufficient: the  $\vec{J}$  vector precesses clockwise around RE surface maxima (left thumb up) and counter clockwise around minima. (The same rule applies to wind direction around highs or lows in the northern hemisphere.) The arrows on the topography lines in Fig. 2 indicate the directions of precession for each  $\vec{J}$ -vector trajectory.

One of the most powerful features of an RE surface picture is that trajectories on the surface can often be related to quantum energy levels and spectral fine structure. In Fig. 3 the trajectories shown in Fig. 2 are associated with energy levels of  $\text{SF}_6$  in the  $J=R=30$  vibrational ground state manifold. More precisely, the trajectories are associated with clusters of energy levels where the number of rotational levels in a given cluster equals the number of equivalent but distinct classical trajectories. For example, the third highest trajectories ( ... these are marked with arrows in the upper right hand side of Fig. 3.) are associated with the third highest cluster of levels. The third circle from the lower lefthand side of Fig. 3 displays a highly magnified view of a cluster of levels labeled  $E$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $A_1$ . This cluster contains six levels altogether, as explained below.

The appearance of clusters in molecular spectra was one of the surprising revelations provided by modern laser spectroscopy.[20] Previously, the well known group theory of quantum energy levels, established by Wigner and developed in dozens of textbooks, was supposed to account for all degeneracy due to symmetry of quantum energy levels. For example, levels labeled by octahedral symmetry species  $E$  and  $T_1$  (or  $T_2$ ) were understood to be doubly and triply degenerate, respectively, while species  $A_1$  (or  $A_2$ ) labeled single non-degenerate levels. A coincidence of any two or more symmetry species in the absence of higher symmetry was often referred to as an "accidental degeneracy".

However, the near degeneracies of two, three, or four symmetry species in the clusters indicated by Fig. 3 are clearly not accidents. In fact, the patterns of energy levels provide a direct comparison of classical vs. quantum mechanical behavior. The clusters are associated with a classical (or semi-classical) degeneracy of equivalent but disjoint trajectories for angular momentum precession. For example, each trajectory around one of the four-fold ( $C_4$ ) symmetric peaks has the same energy as an equivalent one on



# VISUALIZING THE $J=30$ LEVELS OF A SPHERICAL TCP




Fig.3. Rotational energy level clusters of  $\text{SF}_6$  for  $J=30$  are associated with RE surface trajectories of the precessing  $\vec{J}$ -vector. (After Ref. [13].) Rotational constants are  $B=0.04108 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $t_{044}=5.44 \text{ Hz}$ . Spectrum is relative to  $BJ(J+1)$ .

each of the other five peaks. Each set of six classical trajectories corresponds to one of the  $C_4$  clusters on the lower righthand side of Fig. 3. Each  $C_4$  cluster has six rotational sublevels in the form of a  $(T_1T_2)$  or an  $(ATE)$  combination. On the righthand side of Fig. 3 there are eight equivalent copies of each classical trajectory near the bottoms of three-fold ( $C_3$ ) symmetric valleys. Each set of eight corresponds to the same number of sublevels within  $C_3$  clusters ( $A_1T_1T_2A_2$ ) or  $(T_1ET_2)$ .

The spacing and splitting of the clusters provides a direct measurement for the rates of classical versus quantum angular momentum dynamics. The rates for classical precessional motion are proportional to the inter-cluster spacing such as the  $(1.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1} = 5.3 \text{ MHz})$  interval between the top two clusters in Fig. 3. The rates for quantum tunneling are proportional to the intra-cluster splitting such as the  $(1.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-1} = 4.8 \text{ Hz})$  splitting of the top cluster in Fig. 3. Note that the classical precessional frequency for the top cluster is about a million times faster than the quantum tunneling frequency. This quantum tunneling frequency represents the rate for a classically impossible feat in which the molecule jumps from one equivalent precessional trajectory to another.

Inter-cluster spacing is called fine structure splitting while the intra-cluster splitting is called superfine structure splitting. Generally, the splitting of superfine structure is much finer than that of the 'fine' structure since the corresponding quantum tunneling is much slower than the classical precession. However, if the different classical trajectories have points that are sufficiently near one another, then the quantum tunneling may become as rapid as the classical precession. This happens in what is called the separatrix or saddle point region of the energy space.

The dotted curves on the RE surface in Fig. 2 or 3 are called separatrices, and the separatrix segments connect saddle points. These dotted curves are called separatrices since they separate nearby trajectories which precess around different axes, and they lie on an energy sphere that passes through the saddle points. Equivalent but distinct trajectories with energies slightly above or below the separatrix energy will form avoided crossings at each saddle point. In the neighborhood of the saddle point, the speed of the classical precession will be greatly reduced since the RE surface slope is nearly zero. However, the quantum tunneling will be greatly enhanced near these avoided crossings. Energy level clusters near the separatrix energy will have vanishing fine structure splitting since the classical precession rates are vanishing, but the tunneling frequency will suddenly blow up. In other words the cluster structure is completely melted away at the separatrix energy as can be seen in Fig. 3. The dynamics of a molecule near the separatrix energy may be extremely complicated and very sensitive to initial conditions. This has to be so since the separatrix marks the point where the molecule switches from  $C_3$  type clusters and valley precession to a completely different  $C_4$  type cluster and precession around peaks.

The  $C_3$ -cluster-separatrix- $C_4$ -cluster structure is clearly visible in high resolution spectra. Probably the clearest and most beautiful examples so far are shown in laser spectra taken by Alan Pine<sup>[22]</sup> from cubane ( $C_8H_8$ ). A ( $J=36$ ) example is shown in Fig. 4 and it has a structure similar to that of ( $J=30$ ) levels in Fig. 3. The relative heights of the absorption

peaks are determined by Pine from nuclear spin degeneracy and not simply by counting A, T, and E rotational degeneracies. A simple method for deriving  $XY_6$  and  $XY_8$  spin states was given by Harter and Patterson<sup>[16,23]</sup> and will be described briefly later.




Fig. 4. Spectrum by Alan Pine of Cubane  $C_8H_8$   $\nu_{12}$  vibrational transition R(36). (See Ref. [22].) Three-fold clusters are separated from four-fold clusters by an uneven spectral separatrix region. Note that F symmetry labels in the figure are the same as T labels in the text.

In Fig. 1b there is an example of a  $J = 88$  fine structure manifold of clusters with the details of the superfine structure shown below it in Fig. 1c. It is worth noting that Fig. 1 and 4 represent quantum transitions in vibrational spectra involving the rotational levels in Fig. 3. It will be shown in Sec. VB that the relevant rotational energy surfaces for vibrationally excited states with large Coriolis interactions can have the same shapes as the basic surface shown in Fig. 2. In this case spectral structure is similar to level structure.

Before describing other types of RE surfaces we shall briefly mention an elementary semi-classical calculation of  $C_4$ -type fine and superfine energy levels.<sup>[13]</sup> This uses an Euler angle adaption of Bohr's original action quantization rule

$$A_n = \int_0^{2\pi} J_Y^+(E_n) = \pi n \quad n=J, J-1 \dots \quad (2.9)$$

where  $J_Y = J_Z$  is the component of  $J$  about the  $C_4$  axis of quantization found by solving (2.4) and (2.5), i.e.

$$J_Y^\pm = \left\{ \frac{J^2(\cos^4 \gamma + \sin^4 \gamma) \pm [\epsilon(\cos^4 \gamma + \sin^4 \gamma + 1) - J^4(\cos^4 \gamma + \sin^4 \gamma)]^{1/2}}{\cos^4 \gamma + \sin^4 \gamma + 1} \right\}^{1/2} \quad (2.10a)$$

where

$$\epsilon = (E - BJ^2 + 3J^4/5)/10 \quad t_{044} \quad (2.10b)$$

For more accurate results one uses the quantum expectation values for angular momentum magnitudes i.e.,  $J^2 \rightarrow J(J+1)$  and  $J^4 \rightarrow (J(J+1))^2$ .

One varies the energy until the quantization condition (2.9) is satisfied. For  $J=30$  one obtains  $E_n = 5.29, 3.53, 2.03, 0.79, -0.22, \dots$  in units of  $10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . These compare well with the exact values of 5.31, 3.54, 2.04, 0.80, -0.20, ... obtained by the or lengthy procedure of numerical diagonalization. Note the classical precessional frequency ( $\nu_c$ ) is given by the well known action derivative formula

$$\nu_c = \left( \frac{\partial A_n}{\partial E_n} \right)^{-1} = \frac{\Delta E \Delta n}{\Delta n \Delta A} = \Delta E/h \quad (\text{for } \Delta n=1) \quad (2.11)$$

This confirms the relation between  $\nu_c$  and fine structure splitting  $\Delta E$ .

The superfine splitting is determined by a tunneling amplitude

$$S = \nu_c e^{-|\Theta|} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\Theta = \int_{\text{path}} J_Y^-(E_n) dY \quad (2.13)$$

is an integral over a saddle point. An example of such a path is the dashed path connecting the top  $C_4$  trajectories round the  $J_x$  and  $J_y$  axes in Fig. 2. The limits of the tunneling integral are the closest approach points on the quantizing trajectories connected by a path. The tunneling amplitudes  $S$  appear in a tunneling matrix

$$\langle H \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 & S & S & S & S \\ 0 & E & S & S & S & S \\ S & S & E & 0 & S & S \\ S & S & 0 & E & S & S \\ S & S & S & S & E & 0 \\ S & S & S & S & 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (100) \\ (-100) \\ (010) \\ (0-10) \\ (001) \\ (00-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

which connects the six equivalent  $C_4$  trajectories that have energy  $E$  around the axes  $\{(100), (-100), \dots\}$ . The eigenvalues

$$h^{A_1} = E+4S \quad h^{T_1} = E \quad h^E = E-2S \quad (2.15)$$

of this matrix determine the superfine structure. Note that the  $T_1$  level is twice as far from the  $A_1$  level as the  $E$  level. This is what is observed in (ATE) clusters in spectra such as Fig. 1-4 where "nearest neighbor" tunneling is the dominant quantum process. Other tunneling processes are discussed in [15].

### III. SYMMETRY ANALYSIS FOR CLUSTERS

The symmetry analysis for spectral clusters may seem at first to be a little peculiar. Normally one expects a reduction in symmetry to cause the splitting of degenerate energy levels. For example, consider a reduction of cubic-octahedral ( $O$ ) symmetry to  $C_4$  symmetry by applying a  $z$ -magnetic field. This should cause the Zeeman splitting of the triply degenerate  $T_1$  level into three levels of  $m=1, 0$ , and  $-1$ . (The  $T_1$  state is like an  $l=1$   $p$ -type atomic orbital.)

Now a classical  $C_4$ -type cluster state with negligible tunneling also represents a reduction in symmetry if the molecule is stuck precessing on one of six  $C_4$ -symmetric trajectories. The molecule is adiabatically distorted from a perfect octahedron into something which really has no symmetry at all. At best it has a dynamical symmetry associated with a particular representation of the local  $C_4$  symmetry of a single trajectory. However, the effect of this symmetry breaking on the spectrum is opposite to that of the Zeeman effect. Now the levels unsplit or cluster instead of splitting.

The difference is that in the Zeeman example the symmetry of the Hamiltonian was reduced artificially or externally. Clustering and many other related effects are associated with what should probably be called internal, spontaneous, or dynamical symmetry breaking. In the latter the symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian is not disturbed, but at some point the wavefunction can be regarded as having collapsed or locked into one of several equivalent alternatives. This is a touchy point since this collapse cannot be brought about solely by Schrodinger dynamics. At some point a projection or "measurement" must be invoked. In the end the symmetry analysis and quantum mechanics must be made to produce localized wavepacket states that have "permanently" (for negligible tunneling) lost some of the symmetry they had before they were born. Something like this is needed in order that a classical world can make its appearance. All classical entities which we so take for granted such as nuclei, atoms, molecules, or chemical physicists must each have given up a considerable amount of symmetry in order to exist.

To understand the symmetry analysis for either type of symmetry breaking let us return to the  $C_4$  Zeeman example. A well known correlation exists between the octahedral representations and those of its subgroup  $C_4$ . Let us label the  $C_4$  representations using azimuthal quanta-modulo four i.e.  $\{0_4, 1_4, 2_4, 3_4\}$ . For example, the  $T_1$  representation is correlated with  $m=1=1_4$ ,  $m=0=0_4$ , and  $m=-1=3_4$  according to Zeeman splitting. The  $T_1$  correlation is recorded in the  $T_1$  row of the  $C_4$  correlation table in Fig. 5 along with the other  $O$ -representations. The rows of  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  tables provide similar correlations appropriate for the Zeeman type applied symmetry breaking.

The remarkable thing about these tables is that the columns provide the necessary correlations for the clusters and spontaneous symmetry breaking. For example, the  $Q_4$  column in Fig. 5 contains the cluster  $(A_1 T_1 E)$ . Whenever the azimuthal angular quantum number associated with a given quantizing  $C_4$  trajectory is  $Q_4$  (i.e., zero-modulo-four) then the  $(A_1 T_1 E)$  cluster will be associated with that trajectory. This happens in Fig. 3 and 4 for  $K_4 = 36, 32, 28, 24, \dots$  and in Fig. 1 for  $K_4 = 88, 84, \dots$  etc. For odd values




Fig. 5. Symmetry correlations for octahedral symmetry species and those of subgroups  $C_3$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_4$ . (After Ref. [13].) The subgroups are possible symmetries for classical trajectories on octahedral RE surface. The columns of each table give the number of each octahedral species involved in a particular type of cluster in Figs. 3, 4, 8, or 9. An  $XY_6$  molecule is sketched rotating approximately as it would for each of the three subgroups. (Precession motion is not being shown.)

of the azimuthal quantum number on  $C_4$  trajectories the  $1_4$  or  $3_4$  cluster ( $T_1T_2$ ) appears. The  $0_4$  clusters correspond to the classical picture of  $SF_6$  rotation sketched above the  $C_4$  table. Similar analysis applies to the  $C_3$  clusters using the  $C_3$  table in Fig. 5. Examples of  $C_2$  clusters will be shown in Sec. V. Since the two-fold axes in fig. 2 and 3 contain saddles and separatrices, clustering is impossible there.



This symmetry analysis of clusters is based upon the theory of induced representations.[3,4] There are many new applications of this theory, but possible none so prevalent and directly observable as in the rotational spectra of common polyatomic molecules. We briefly consider another application which helps to derive nuclear spin and hyperfine properties.

#### IV. SYMMETRY ANALYSIS FOR NUCLEAR SPINS

A polyatomic molecule such as  $SF_6$  or  $C_6H_6$  can be regarded as undergoing spontaneous symmetry breaking in order to achieve its classical structure and cubic symmetry. Without this classical structure there is a much higher symmetry which includes the arbitrary interchange of the identical nuclei. The permutational symmetry  $S_6$  of six identical F nuclei has  $6!=720$  operations and is thirty times larger than the cubic rotation group  $O$  which has only twenty-four rotations. This corresponds to thirty equivalent choices for classical valleys into which the nuclear configuration could finally collapse in order to make a stable  $SF_6$  molecule. The eight H nuclei must choose from 1680 equivalent final configurations around a cubic  $C_8$  frame even if that frame is already established.

The correlation between the local cubic O-symmetry representations and the much higher  $S_6$  or  $S_8$  representations is analogous to the correlation between  $O_h$  symmetry and O representations in rotational cluster analysis. A partial set of correlation tables appropriate for spin 1/2 nuclei F and H are given below for  $S_4$ ,  $S_6$ , and  $S_8$  correlations with tetrahedral (T) and cubic (O) symmetry of  $XY_4$ ,  $XY_6$ , and  $XY_8$  molecules, respectively. The  $S_n$  representations are correlated with Young spin tableaux which describe the states of total nuclear spin ( $I=0,1,2,\dots$ ) allowed by the Pauli exclusion principle. [16,23]

Table I. Correlation between tetrahedral or cubic representations and Pauli-allowed total nuclear spin quanta  $I=0,1,\dots,4$ .

(a) $S_4+T$			(b) $S_6+O$			(c) $S_8+O$		
E	T	A	E	$T_1$	$T_2$	$A_1$	$A_2$	
	1	.		.	1	2	.	$I=0$
	.	1		1	2	.	1	
	.	.		1	.	1	.	
	.	1		.	.	.	1	2
								3

One notes that each O species is correlated with a supercluster of different nuclei spin states. For example a  $T_1$  state of cubane ( $C_8H_8$ ) is correlated with 5 multiplets of total nuclear spin  $I=1$  and one each of spin  $I=2$  and 3 or 27 states altogether.  $T_2$  is correlated with two  $I=0$  singlets, three each of  $I=1$  and  $I=2$  and one  $I=3$  septet or 33 states altogether. This explains the relative intensity values 27 and 33 written above the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  lines in Pine's spectrum in Fig. 4. (Note: T means the same as F.)

The absorption peaks associated with clusters have relative intensities which are the sums of those of their constituents. For example the  $(T_1T_2)$  clusters have relative intensity  $(27+33=60)$ . However, the effect of clustering and related rotational dynamics on spin states is much more subtle than this. As the cluster splitting or  $S$  becomes smaller the nature of the nuclear spin state may be drastically altered. Symmetry species which normally are prohibited from mixing can be strongly mixed and total nuclear spin ( $I$ ) may no longer be a good quantum number. This can happen whenever the superfine splitting is comparable to or less than the hyperfine, i.e., less than about 20 kHz.

Since the superfine splitting drops exponentially according to (2.12) there can be many clusters in which hyperfine mixing of species plays a major role. These are called Case 2 clusters in Fig. 1d-e. It is clear that many case 2 clusters exist. Using extraordinary laser techniques Christian Bordé [3,25,26] has observed many examples of hyperfine mixing in case 2 clusters in  $SF_6$ . Jacques Bordé [25,26] has verified the effects in detailed computer studies. These experiments open a new era in the study of molecular structure and dynamics.

The dynamics of nuclear spins in the presence of non-linear rotational dynamics needs to be analyzed in more detail. The detailed spectra of strong

case 2 clusters as well as borderline case 1-case 2 clusters need to be understood. Preliminary studies include the use of case 1→2 correlation diagrams such as Fig. 6, and approximate quantum models of the resulting energy levels.[14] The resulting spectral patterns are called superhyperfine structure in Fig. 1e and should lead to many interesting effects involving nuclear spin-rotation coupling and RE surface dynamics.

We consider now some related effects involving some different kinds of RE surface dynamics and vibration-rotation coupling.




Fig. 6. SF<sub>6</sub> hyperfine structure within an (A<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>E) cluster. (After Ref. [14].) The hyperfine multiplets associated with A<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and E are sketched on the right-hand side. (They follow from Table 1b.) As the RE surface tunneling amplitude S vanishes the three multiplets are drawn together and the symmetry species become mixed. The resulting spectrum is called superhyperfine or case 2 structure. (See also Fig. 1d and e.)



## V. OTHER TYPES OF RE SURFACES AND SPECTRAL PATTERNS

We consider now some different possibilities for RE surfaces and their resulting spectral patterns. These represent only a few of the many interesting effects that can be discovered using RE surface pictures and they should be observable in laser spectra of polyatomic molecules.

### A. Fourth and Sixth Rank Tensor Combinations

The RE surfaces and spectra of the combination tensor

$$T^{4,6}(\nu) = \cos \nu \hat{T}^4 + \sin \nu \hat{T}^6 \quad (5.1)$$

will be displayed for a range of values for the mixing parameter  $\nu = 0, \pi/6, \dots, \pi$ . The first term involves a normalized fourth rank tensor proportional to the one given in Eqs. 1.1, 2.2, and 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}^4 &= (7/12)^{1/2} [T_C^4 + (5/14)^{1/2} (T_4^4 + T_{-4}^4)] \\ &= (21/2^{10}\pi)^{1/2} (35\cos^4\beta - 30\cos^2\beta + 5\sin^4\beta \cos 4\gamma + 3) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

The second term is a normalized sixth rank tensor which also has cubic symmetry.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}^6 &= (1/8)^{1/2} [T_0^6 - (7/2)^{1/2} (T_4^6 + T_{-4}^6)] \\ &= (13/2^{13}\pi)^{1/2} (231\cos^6\beta - 315\cos^4\beta + 105\cos^2\beta - 21\sin^4\beta (11\cos^2\theta - 1)\cos 4\gamma - 5) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

One may plot each  $T^{4,6}$  for a given  $\nu$  as an RE surface as was done in Fig. 2 for the  $\nu=0$  case.[27] However, plotting in the spherical geometry can make it difficult for one's eye to spot saddle points or other flat regions. Therefore we shall borrow a technique from world maps and plot the rotational energy along the z-axis above a stereographic projection of roughly half the unit sphere. The x and y co-ordinates on the projected plane will be given in terms of the polar angles  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \tan(\beta/2) \cos(\gamma) \\ y &= \tan(\beta/2) \sin(\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Thus the equator ( $\beta=\pi/2$ ) becomes a unit circle in the x-y plane. (In fact any circle on the sphere is mapped into a circle or straight line on the x-y plane.)

The  $T^{4,6}$  RE surfaces are plotted as functions of x and y ( $-1.0 < x, y < 1.0$ ) for several values of  $\nu$  in Fig. 7. The plots are 3D stereo drawings which can be viewed by relaxing the eyes so that the left and right eyes see the left and right images, respectively. A stereo viewer or a card held between the drawings may help one enjoy the 3D views more easily. One should first examine the  $\nu=0$  drawing in Fig. 7(a) which represents the top half of the RE surface in Fig. 2. Note the peaks on four-fold axes, valleys on three-fold axes, and saddles on two-fold axes. The contours of Fig. 2 are










Fig. 7. Rotational energy (RE) surfaces for mixed tenant  $T^4_6 = T^4 \cos v + T^6 \sin v$  for various values of mixing angle  $v$ . Surface co-ordinates are projected according to Eq. (5.4) onto  $x$  and  $y$  base plane. Drawings are 3D stereo pairs, and should be so viewed to see axis positions. (a) The  $v=0$  surface corresponds to Fig. 2. (b)  $v = 1/4(18(\pi/6))$ , (c)  $v = 2(\pi/6)$ , (d)  $v = 4(\pi/6)$ , (e)  $v = 4.4474(\pi/6)$ , (f)  $v = \pi$ .



not reproduced on Fig. 7, but one can see approximately where they would go since the constant energy surfaces in Fig. 7 are planes parallel to  $x$  and  $y$ .

Next one should examine the  $\nu=2.0(\pi/6)$  surface in Fig. 9(c). It is clear that the two-fold axes no longer have saddle points but have developed fairly deep valleys. This means that some topography lines or classical trajectories will encircle the two fold axes, and that  $T^{4,6}$  operators with approximately the same  $\nu$  will have two-fold clusters. These are of the form  $(A_1ET_1T_2T_2)$  or  $(A_2ET_1T_1T_2)$  obtained from the columns of the  $C_2$  table in Fig. 5, and each have 12 rotational sublevels altogether.

The eigenvalues of  $T^{4,5}$  for  $J=30$  are plotted as a function of  $\nu(0<\nu<\pi)$  in Fig. 8 below. At  $\nu=0$  the spectrum has the same form as in Fig. 3, but it quickly changes as  $\nu$  varies. Clusters trade species and form new clusters including a pair of two-fold clusters that go from about  $\nu=0.4(\pi/6)$  to about  $\nu=4.6(\pi/6)$  in the lower center portion of Fig. 8. The superfine structure of the upper one is visible without magnification. Twelve states in five levels are crowded together in these clusters.




Fig. 8. Energy levels for mixed tensor  $T^{4,6} = \cos \nu T^4 + \sin \nu T^6$  as a function of mixing angle  $\nu$  for  $J=30$ . (After Ref. [27].) The  $\nu=0$  or  $\nu=\pi$  levels are similar to those in Fig. 3. The arrows indicate the levels corresponding to RE surfaces in Figs. 7 (b), (c), (d), and (e), respectively.

The surfaces at the values  $\nu=0.414(\pi/6)$  and  $\nu=4.648(\pi/6)$  where the two-fold clusters begin and end are shown in Fig. 7 (b) or (e). These are values for which the two-fold energy equals the energy at the three or fold-fold axes, respectively. From Fig. 8 one can see that the energy spectrum is dominated at these points by four or three-fold clusters, respectively. Finally, one should note that the  $\nu=\pi$  surface in Fig 7(f) is just an upside-down version of the  $\nu=0$  surface in Fig. 7(a).

The pattern of changing geography and eigenvalues seen in Figs. 7 and 8 will be similar to that which occurs in a much more complicated Coriolis coupling problem discussed in the following section.

## B. Scalar and Tensor Coriolis Combinations

The fundamental  $\nu_3$  and  $\nu_4$  vibrational states are each spanned by a dipole active triplet of vector-like base states  $\{\phi_1^1, \phi_0^1, \phi_{-1}^1\}$  of vibrational angular momentum  $l=1$  and cubic symmetry species  $T_1$ . The coupling of the vibrational angular momentum  $l$  with the rotational momentum  $R$  yields a total angular momentum  $J=R+l$  which is conserved. In  $SF_6$  and other similar molecules most of the angular momentum coupling or Coriolis effects are well described by the following Hamiltonian [28]

$$H_v = v + B J^2 + 2B\zeta J \cdot l + t_{224} [v^2(\text{rotation}) \times v^2(\text{vibration})]_{A_1}^4, \quad (5.5)$$

where the first two terms determine the vibrational and rotational energy, and the second two terms determine the vibration-rotation or Coriolis interactions.

We shall compare the spectra and RE surface dynamics of the interactions by varying the coefficient  $\beta\zeta$  (B-zeta) of the scalar Coriolis operator for a fixed value of the tensor coefficient  $t_{224}$ . We shall once again treat the angular momentum as a semi-classical quantity which precesses around topography lines on an RE surface. The problem is: What RE surface?

This is a new approach to Coriolis effects, but a useful analogy can be made with older problems. Jahn, Teller, and Renner studied electron-vibration vibronic interactions involving two, three, or more electronic base functions  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots\}$  with the same or nearly the same energy. As is well known this degeneracy signals a possible "breakdown" of the Born Oppenheimer approximation and the whole system electrons and vibrating nuclei can become "floppy". To study the dynamics of such a system one constructs multiple interpenetrating potential energy (PE) surfaces by diagonalizing an effective Hamiltonian in the electronic basis  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$  as a function of vibrational co-ordinates.

To study the Coriolis dynamics we shall similarly diagonalize an effective Hamiltonian in the body defined basis

$$\{|\Pi_1\rangle = \phi_1, \quad |\Sigma\rangle = \phi_0, \quad |\Pi_{-1}\rangle = \phi_{-1}\}$$

of vibrational functions and plot the three resulting functions of the angular momentum direction angles  $\{\beta, \gamma\}$  or the projected  $\{x, y\}$  co-ordinates given by (5.4). This will yield three sometimes interpenetrating RE surfaces which will provide information about Coriolis dynamics and spectra.

The details of the derivation of the effective Hamiltonian is mostly described in Ref. 28 and cannot be repeated here due to lack of space. The result in the  $\{\Pi\Sigma\}$  body basis is the following. (Here we ignore  $v$  and  $B J^2$ .)

$$\langle ii \rangle_{\text{body}} = 2t_{224} J^2 \begin{pmatrix} |\Pi_1\rangle & |\Sigma\rangle & |\Pi_{-1}\rangle \\ 3\cos^2\beta - 1 & -2/\sqrt{2}\sin\beta\cos\beta(\cos\gamma - i\sin\gamma) & \sin^2\beta(6\cos 2\gamma + 4\sin 2\gamma) \\ \text{cc.} & -2(1\cos^2\beta - 1) & 2/\sqrt{2}\sin\beta\cos\beta(\cos\gamma - i\sin\gamma) \\ \text{cc.} & \text{cc.} & 3\cos^2\beta - 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2B\zeta J \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & \sin\beta(\cos\gamma - i\sin\gamma)/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \text{cc.} & 0 & \sin\beta(\cos\gamma - i\sin\gamma)/\sqrt{2} \\ \text{cc.} & \text{cc.} & -\cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

For many purposes it is more convenient to have this matrix in the laboratory defined basis. We shall designate the lab basis by

$$\{ |P\rangle = |R=J+1\rangle, \quad |Q\rangle = |R=J\rangle, \quad |R\rangle = |R=J-1\rangle \}$$

in correspondence with the P, Q, and R branches of a  $\nu$  spectrum (See Fig. 1a) which result from transitions to these states when  $B\zeta$  is much larger than  $t_{224}$ . In this representation the scalar Coriolis operator  $J \cdot \ell$  is diagonal

$$\langle H \rangle_{\text{lab}} = 2t_{224}J^2 \begin{pmatrix} H_{PP} & H_{PQ} & H_{PR} \\ H_{PQ}^* & H_{QQ} & H_{QR} \\ H_{PR}^* & H_{QR}^* & H_{RR} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2B\zeta J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2B\zeta J \end{pmatrix}$$

and the tensor Coriolis components are given as follows.

$$H_{PP} = H_{RR} = (35\cos^4\beta - 30\cos^2\beta + 5\sin^4\beta \cos 4\gamma + 3)/4 = -H_{QQ}/2 \quad (5.8)$$

$$H_{PQ} = 5\sin\beta [7\cos^3\beta - 3\cos\beta - \sin^2\beta (\cos\beta \cos 4\gamma + i\sin 4\gamma)]/2\sqrt{7} = -H_{QR} \quad (5.9)$$

$$H_{PR} = 5[-7\cos^4\beta + 8\cos^2\beta + (1 - \cos^4\beta)\cos 4\gamma + 2i\cos\beta \sin^2\beta \sin 4\gamma - 1]/4 \quad (5.10)$$

One should note that the diagonal components (5.8) have precisely the form of the ( $\ell=0$ ) ground level RE surface defined by (2.5). The excited ( $\ell=1$ ) level RE surfaces consist of three copies of ground level RE surface with the middle (Q) one upside down and twice as deep for large  $B\zeta$ . Then the rotor angular momentum  $R$  is mostly conserved and provides a good quantum number  $R = J+1$ ,  $J$ , or  $J-1$  for well separated P, Q, and R branches. The vibrational momentum  $\ell$  is defined by its component on the lab fixed  $J$  vector.

It is interesting to see what happens when  $B\zeta$  is small or zero. A plot of the resulting spectrum for  $J=60$  is shown in Fig. 9a and b. Fig. 9b presents a magnified view of the neighborhood of  $B\zeta=0$ .

One should note that the upper branch of level trajectories in Fig. 9b has a pair of triangular regions which contain two-fold clusters for  $|B\zeta| > 0$  and  $|B\zeta| < 1.2$ . Each triangular region is surrounded on its upper side by regions containing mostly three-fold clusters on one side and mostly four-fold clusters on the other side and separatrix regions in between. In other words, each triangular region in Fig. 9b resembles the triangular region the middle of Fig. 8 which is due to 5th rank tensors having produced stable two-fold clusters. This was quite surprising to find in the spectra of a 4th rank




Fig. 9. Energy levels for unit fourth rank tensor Coriolis operator as a function of scalar Coriolis coefficient  $B\zeta$ . Part of the (a) figure is magnified in (b) to show the structure around  $B\zeta=0$ . The arrows indicate levels corresponding to RE surface in Fig. 10 (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f), respectively.










Fig. 10. Rotational energy (RE) surfaces for unit fourth rank tensor Coriolis operator for various values of scalar Coriolis coefficient:  $B_C$ : (a)  $B_C=0$ , (b)  $B_C=0.5$ , (c)  $B_C=1.0$ , (d)  $B_C=1.1$ , (e)  $B_C=2.0$ , (f)  $B_C=3.0$ .





tensor which generally has only unstable saddle points on two-fold axes. How then can stable classical rotation be established on a two-fold axis for small  $B\zeta$ ?

The 3D plots of RE surfaces in Fig. 10 show clearly that stable valleys or peaks exist on two-fold axes for low values of  $B\zeta$ . The Coriolis interaction can stabilize an otherwise unstable motion just as a magnetic field can stabilize orbits in a quadrupole ion trap. Comparison of the top surface in the first two plots in Fig. 10 (for  $B\zeta=0.0$  and  $B\zeta=0.5$ ) reveals that the latter has developed valleys on the two-fold axis. The top surface for  $B\zeta=0.0$  resembles the  $\nu=4.6(\pi/6)$  surface in Fig. 7(a) and supports three-fold clusters only. The middle surface for  $B\zeta=0.5$  (Fig. 10(b)) has the same shape only upside down, and it corresponds to the lower limit for stable two-fold precession on this surface. The top surface with  $B\zeta=1.0$  (Fig. 10(c)) has a shape similar to the  $\nu=0.41(\pi/6)$  surface in Fig. 7(b). It supports only four-fold precession and corresponds to the upper limit for two-fold precession on the top surface. However, at  $B\zeta=1.0$  the two-fold clusters are still very strong in the middle region as seen in Figs. 9(b) or 10(c).

By comparing Figs. 9 and 10 for various values of  $B\zeta$  it is seen that very complicated spectral patterns are described quite simply and accurately by the RE surface pictures even in the presence of resonant Coriolis mixing at small  $B\zeta$ . Furthermore, each point on the surface corresponds to a particular eigenstate combination of vibrational states  $\{|\pi_1|\Sigma\rangle|\pi_{-1}\rangle\}$  or else  $\{|P\rangle|Q\rangle|R\rangle\}$ . The former are body-defined angular momentum states which will be more convenient bases to use in the limit of  $B\zeta=0$ , i.e., when the Born-Oppenheimer approximation for vibrational wavefunctions is valid.

Other interesting points of comparison between spectra in Fig. 9 and RE surfaces in Fig. 10 include  $B\zeta=1.3$  when the lower surface is almost flat, and crossover points or conical intersections at  $B\zeta=2.0$  and 3.0. The physical interpretation of these patterns and dynamics must await future work.

### C. Two-fold Clusters in Asymmetric Tops

As a final example we show the  $J=10$  energy levels and RE surface trajectories for an asymmetric rigid top in Fig. 11. This example shows that absence of high symmetry does not necessarily prevent the existence of cluster patterns and semiclassical analysis. The details of the asymmetric top analysis are discussed in [13] and are based upon earlier work by King[29] and Colwell, Handy, and Miller[30]. The two-fold or  $C_2(x)$  and  $C_2(y)$  clusters in Fig. 11 are analogous to the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  clusters for the octahedral symmetry in Fig. 3. The  $C_2(y)$  clusters are impossible when the  $y$ -axis sits on saddle points.  $SF_6$  (or  $C_8H_8$ ) and asymmetric tops represent two extremes in molecular symmetry. Between these extremes there should be a broad range of molecules with fascinating examples of spectral patterns and rovibronic dynamics. A clear picture of this dynamics can be obtained from analysis of rotational energy surface.

# VISUALIZING THE $J=10$ LEVELS OF AN ASYMMETRIC TOP




Fig. 11. Rotational energy level clusters for a rigid asymmetric top for  $J=10$  are associated with RE surface trajectories. (See Ref. [1].) Correlations between the asymmetric top symmetry  $D_2$  and three dynamical subgroups  $C_2(x)$ ,  $C_2(y)$ , and  $C_2(z)$  are shown below the level-cluster diagram. (Recall analogous figures 3. and 4. for octahedral clusters.)

### Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Alan Pine for copies of the cubane spectrum, and the National Science Foundation for partial support from the grant PHY-8207150 in theoretical physics.

### References

1. J. P. Aldridge, H. Filip, H. Flicker, R. F. Holland, R. S. McDowell, N. G. Nereson, and K. Fox, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 58, 165(1975).
2. R. S. McDowell, H. W. Galbraith, C. D. Cantrell, N. G. Nereson, and E. D. Hinkley, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 68, 288(1977).
3. Ch.J. Bordé, M. Ouhayoun, A. Van Lerberge, C. Saloman, S. Avrillier, C. D. Cantrell, and J. Bordé, "Laser Spectroscopy IV" (H. Walther and K. W. Rothe, Eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979).
4. K. C. Kim, W. B. Person, D. Seitz, and B. J. Krohn, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 76, 322(1979).
5. P. F. Moulton, D. M. Larsen, J. N. Walpole, and A. Mooradian, Opt. Lett. 1, 51(1977).
6. C. W. Patterson, R. S. McDowell, P. F. Moulton, and A. Mooradian, Opt. Lett. 6, 93(1981).
7. A. S. Pine and A. G. Robiette, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 80, 388(1980).
8. C. W. Patterson, B. J. Krohn, and A. S. Pine, J. Mol. spectrosc. 88, 133(1981).
9. C. Reiser, J. I. Steinfeld, and H. W. Galbraith, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 2189(1981).
10. M. Dubs, D. Harradine, E. Schweitzer, and J. I. Steinfeld, and C. W. Patterson, J. Chem. Phys. 77, 3824(1982).
11. R. S. McDowell, M. J. Reissfeld, H. W. Galbraith, B. J. Krohn, H. Flicker, R. C. Kennedy, J. P. Aldridge, and N. G. Nereson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 83, 440(1986).
12. M. Takami, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 2665(1980); 72, 4276(1981); 76, 1676(1982).
13. W. G. Harter and C. W. Patterson, J. Chem. Phys. (to be published).
14. W. G. Harter, Phys. Rev. A24, 192-263(1981).
15. W. G. Harter and C. W. Patterson, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 4872(1977).
16. W. G. Harter, C. W. Patterson, and F. J. daPaixao, Revs. Mod. Phys. 50, 37(1978).

17. Karl T. Hecht, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 5, 355(1960).
18. K. R. Lea, M. J. M. Leask, and W. P. Wolf, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 23, 1381(1962).
19. A. J. Dorney and J. K. G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 42 1(1972).
20. K. Fox, H. W. Galbraith, B. J. Krohn, and J. D. Louck, Phys. Rev. A15 1363(1977).
21. W. G. Harter and C. W. Patterson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 224(1977).
22. A. S. Pine, A. G. Maki, A. G. Robiette, B. J. Krohn, J. K. G. Watson, and Th. Urbanek, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (Feb 1984).
23. W. G. Harter and C. W. Patterson, in Group Theoretical Methods in Physics Edited by W. Beiglinoch et al. Lecture Notes in Physics 94 (Springer Verlag, New York 1979) p. 80.
24. S. L. Altman Induced Representations in Crystals and Molecules (Academic Press, New York 1977).
25. J. Bordé, Ch. J. Bordé, C. Salomon, A. Van Lerberghe, M. Ouhayoun, and C. C. Cantrell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 14(1980).
26. J. Bordé and Ch. J. Bordé, Chem. Phys. 71, 417(1982).
27. W. G. Harter and C. W. Patterson, J. Math. Phys. 20, 1453(1979).
28. W. G. Harter, C. W. Patterson, and H. W. Galbraith, J. chem. Phys. 69, 4896(1978).
29. G. W. King, J. Chem. Phys. 15, 820(1947).
30. S. M. Colwell, N. C. Handy, and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. 68, 745(1978).